

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,867	07/09/2003	Eiji Higuchi	115877	8188	
25944	7590 02/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			HAMMOND, BRIGGITTE R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2833		
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/614	,867	HIGUCHI ET AL.					
		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
·		- •	e R. Hammond	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply secified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		•					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2t	o) ☐ This action is	s non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance exce	pt for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the r	nerits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10</u> is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
6)									
·	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election	n requirement.	•.					
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	1(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date I Patent Application (PTO-	152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/614,867

Art Unit: 2833

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishitani et al. 5,154,647. Ishitani et al. discloses a fuse containing box 3a,3b comprising: an upper and lower case 3a,3b each made of a polypropylene-based resin or alternately a heat-resistant nylon-based resin (col. 3, lines 34-35); an insulating supporting plate 2 housed between the upper and lower case, the supporting 2 plate including a battery fuse 4, and a bus bar 1 connected to a terminal of the battery fuse, wherein the upper and lower cases mate with each other to form a chamber to enclose the supporting plate.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishitani et al.

Regarding claim 2, Ishitani et al. discloses resin parts being made of a mixture of nylon 66 and polyphenylene ether (PPE) col. 5, lines 50-51. It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2833

to one of ordinary skill to make the supporting plate from a mixture of nylon 66 and polyphenylene ether (PPE), since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishitani et al. and Yasukuni et al. 5,734,124. Ishitani et al. Does not disclose the upper case being formed from a mixture of polypropylene and an elastic resin; and the lower case being formed from a mixture of polypropylene and talc. However, Yasukuni et al. discloses it is well known to have the upper and lower casings of a fuse containing box formed of various mixtures including polypropylene and an elastic resin, and polypropylene and talc (col. 2, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the upper and lower casings of the fuse containing box of Ishitani et al. with polypropylene and an elastic resin and/or polypropylene and talc since both mixtures are well known for their heat-resistant qualities as taught by Yasukuni et al.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-10 are allowed.

Claim 11-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: regarding claims 4 and 11, patentability resides, at least in part, in the supporting plate having a locking piece vertically formed on the supporting plate, the locking piece having at an upper end a bus bar fixing claw that horizontally projects to lock the bus bar in place, in combination with the other limitations of the base claim.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Matsumoto et al. was cited for a similar connector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Briggitte R. Hammond whose telephone number is 571-272-2006. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. and Alternate Fridays from 7:30-5:0000.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Briggitte R. Hammond

Examiner Art Unit 2833

February 5, 2005